

Lopez, Donna

ATTACHMENT A

From: Charles Teague [charles.d.teague@gmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, May 14, 2013 4:08 PM
To: City Council
Cc: Lopez, Donna
Subject: proposed Amendment to the Teague Petition for the May 16 Ordinance Committee hearing
Attachments: Amend_Lights_19_Ordinance_strikeouts_only.rtf; Amend_Lights_18_Ordinance_highlighted.rtf

Dear Mayor Davis, Vice-Mayor Simmons, and Councillors,

I hope you can all attend this Thursday's 4:30 pm hearing on the lighting law. Please find attached a slightly simpler version of the Teague Petition that has even fewer changes to our existing law.

The highlighted "May 16 version" shows the changes compared to the "March 18 2013 version" currently before you.

The strikeouts-only "May 16 version" shows the changes compared to the existing law. I propose that this document be substituted in its entirety. The major change is clarification regarding streetlights lighting streets as intended.

Thank you for your attention in this matter,
Charles Teague
23 Edmunds Street

NOTES FOR MAY 16 2013 ORDINANCE COMMITTEE HEARING

THE MARCH 14 2013 TEXT OF THE "*TEAGUE PETITION*" COMPARES CHANGES TO THE EXISTING ZONING LANGUAGE USING THE CONVENTION DESCRIBED BELOW:

TEXT TO BE DELETED IS STRUCK OUT WITH ONE LINE: ~~example~~

TEXT TO BE ADDED IS UNDERLINED: example

REMOVED THE HIGHLIGHTING OF THE MAY 2013 "AMENDMENTS TO THE AMENDMENT" COMPARED TO THE DOCUMENT ON FILE AS THE "*TEAGUE PETITION*" RECEIVED BY THE CITY CLERK MARCH 14 2013

To the Honorable, the City Council:

The undersigned hereby petition the City Council of the City of Cambridge to amend the Zoning Ordinance of the City of Cambridge to clarify existing law so that said law can be enforced. Easily understood definitions have been added. The use of the undefined term "glare" is replaced with the now-defined term "direct light". An illustration of "direct (glare) light" is attached.

Key elements are:

1. no one has the right to shine lights in someone's window
2. the overly broad term "glare" in existing law is removed
3. a "distance from the light" restriction is added
4. safety of pedestrians, bicycles, and other vehicles is increased
5. Inspectional Services Department only enforces complaints filed by others
6. many remedies are inexpensive

The intent of the current Zoning Ordinance to limit inappropriate lighting is shown by these excerpts:

Section 6.41 (off street parking facilities)

*" ... improvements to reduce noise, **glare** or reflection from autos, lights, ..."*

Section 6.46 (off street parking facilities)

*" ... lighting installed and maintained in such a manner so as **not to reflect or cause glare on abutting or facing residential premises** nor to cause **reflection nor glare which adversely affects safe vision of operators of vehicles moving on nearby streets** ..."*

6.93 (loading facilities)

*" ... Any lighting provided shall be install in a manner that will prevent **direct light from shining onto any street or adjacent property.**"*

Section 7.15.B (general limitations on signs)

*"All lighting shall be indirect, continuous, and installed in a manner that will prevent **direct light from shining onto any street or adjacent property.** ..."*

Section 7.20 (illumination)

*"In Residence A, B, C, and C-1 districts no outdoor floodlighting or decorative lighting, except lighting primarily designed to illuminate walks, driveways, outdoor living areas, or outdoor recreational facilities, and except temporary holiday lighting in use for not longer than a four week period in any calendar year, shall be permitted. Any permanent lighting permitted by the preceding sentence shall be continuous, indirect, and installed in a manner that will prevent **direct light from shining onto any street or adjacent property.**"*


Signs, illumination of. (definitions page 2-9)

1. *Natural - natural or ambient light.*

2. *External - artificial illumination from a light source which provides light directly onto the sign face, or portions of the sign face, or its background, which light is then reflected back to the viewer.*

3. *Internal - artificial illumination from a light source located behind the sign face and which transmits light through the sign face or portions of the sign face to the viewer. Exposed neon tubing and similar lighting shall be considered internal illumination.*

4. *Indirect - placement of the artificial light device such that the source of light cannot be seen from a public way*



Light pollution is often caused by the way light is emitted from lighting equipment. Choosing proper equipment and carefully mounting and aiming it can make a significant difference.

Amend the Zoning Ordinance of the City of Cambridge by doing the following:

Insert the following text after "Section 7.20 ILLUMINATION", with the existing text to be retained and labeled as "Section 7.23 Lighting Restrictions for Residential Districts".

7.21 Definitions



7.21.1 Lamp. Lamp shall mean and include the source of optical radiation (i.e., "light"), often called a "bulb" or "tube". Examples include incandescent, fluorescent, high-intensity discharge (HID) lamps, and low pressure sodium (LPS) lamps, as well as light-emitting diode (LED) modules and arrays.

7.21.2 Luminaire. Luminaire shall mean and include the complete lighting unit (fixture), consisting of a lamp, or lamps and ballast(s) (when applicable), together with the parts designed to distribute the light (reflector, lens, diffuser), to position and protect the lamps, and to connect the lamps to the power supply. Temporary holiday lighting in use for not longer than a four week period in any calendar year shall not be considered a luminaire. Internally illuminated signs that have current and valid sign permits shall not be considered a luminaire.

7.21.3 Direct Light. Direct light shall mean and include any lighting entering the eye directly from a luminaire or reflecting from any part of that luminaire. For enforcement purposes, a luminaire shall be considered to be causing direct light if any part of the lamp or any of the parts designed to distribute the light (reflector, lens, diffuser) are visible to any person. Further, a daytime photograph of the luminaire is sufficient to prove that it is the source of direct light even when said luminaire is not in operation.

7.22 Lighting Restrictions for the City of Cambridge

No direct light shall be allowed on any abutting property, on any property abutting an abutting property, on any property across a street from those properties, on any property within 300 feet of the luminaire, and on any street. A property shall explicitly include any buildings on said property with the intent of prohibiting said direct light from entering windows or any other opening. A luminaire intended to light a street is allowed to light said street.



Stop shining lights in our windows !!

The Teague Petition

a tiny fix ...

New Version


- **Reduced the number of changes**
 - Eliminated my definition of “indirect”
 - Clarified that streetlights can light streets
- **Reviewed by Building Commissioner**
 - He can enforce this language
- **Reviewed by CDD**
- **Amend the petition?**


Zoning


- Deathly boring but affects every part of life
 - What you see
 - Where you live
 - Where you work
 - How long it takes to go to work
 - How you feel
 - How you sleep
- Is purpose of CZO “just words on paper”?
 - your challenge

Three Existing Paragraphs

- 7.20 Protects Residence A, B, C & C1
- 7.15 Signs
 - .B controls lights city-wide
 - .C enhanced protection for Residence A, B, C & C1
- 6.46 Controls Parking Lot lights city-wide








Existing Language

no changes proposed

7.20 ILLUMINATION

In **Residence A, B, C, and C-1** districts no outdoor floodlighting or decorative lighting, except lighting primarily designed to illuminate walks, driveways, outdoor living areas, or outdoor recreational facilities, and except temporary holiday lighting in use for not longer than a four week period in any calendar year, shall be permitted. Any permanent lighting permitted by the preceding sentence shall be continuous, **indirect**, and installed in a manner that will prevent **direct light** from shining onto any street or adjacent property.

Hand Shadow Puppets?



Blifaloo.com



Blifaloo.com







Zoning Intent: No Glare, Direct Light

6.41 Purpose. *The regulations governing the design and maintenance of off street parking facilities are intended to protect the health, safety and welfare of the users of the parking facility and of abutting properties, including pedestrians and motorists. Therefore, the regulations herein provide for internal and perimeter landscaping, planting, walls, fences and other improvements to reduce noise, glare or reflection from autos, lights, fumes and the like, and minimum standards for parking space and aisle dimensions to ensure safe traffic circulation within the parking facility and from entrances and exits*

6.46 Lighting. *Off street parking facilities which are used at night shall be provided with adequate lighting installed and maintained in such a manner so as not to reflect or cause glare on abutting or facing residential premises nor to cause reflection nor glare which adversely affects safe vision of operators of vehicles moving on nearby streets. A recommended standard for lighting is a minimum intensity of one (1) foot candle on the entire surface of the parking facility.*

6.93 Off street loading bays may be enclosed in a structure and must be so enclosed if located within fifty (50) feet of a Residence District where the use involves regular night operation, such as that of a bakery, restaurant, hotel, bottling plant, or similar uses. Any lighting provided shall be install in a manner that will prevent **direct light** from shining onto any street or adjacent property


Zoning Intent: Protect Residents

7.15 General Limitations for All Signs Permitted in the City of Cambridge

- A. Signs shall be stationary and may not contain any visible moving or moveable parts.
- B. All lighting shall be **indirect**, continuous, and installed in a manner that will **prevent direct light from shining onto any street or adjacent property**. Flashing or intermittent light creating flashing, moving, changing or animated graphics is prohibited, except that a warning sign, a device intended to tell the time and temperature, or official traffic and directional signs may have intermittent illumination.
- C. No illumination shall be permitted after **11 P.M. in any Residence A, B, C, or C-1** district.


Good Intentions ... four issues

1. Residence A, B, C & C1 more protected
 - BUT light needs to be in A, B, C & C1
 - residents on the edge unprotected
2. Everybody else is less protected
 - city wide parking lot & sign protections
3. Glare undefined
 - Need PhD to define
4. Direct light undefined


















Direct Light equals "glare"




Light pollution is often caused by the way light is emitted from lighting equipment. Choosing proper equipment and carefully mounting and aiming it can make a significant difference.



Bad




Good





Good (useful) mixed with bad






Increased light, decreased safety



Planning Board member in 9-13-11 Hearing

“ ... one of the most enlightening things I've ever done in ... one of my former employs was to walk with a very talented lighting consultant at night on a campus ... There's a ... very, very common feeling that to be safe you need more light, and it's exactly opposite. The light just needs to be directed to where you want it
”
...


Direct Light equals "glare"



Light pollution is often caused by the way light is emitted from lighting equipment. Choosing proper equipment and carefully mounting and aiming it can make a significant difference.


TIMOTHY DEAHL, D.D.S.
General & Aesthetic Dentistry














SALE
BY THE ESTATE
CHRIS FERGUSON, ON



2009 Health & Environment Committee

- Guest speaker from “*International Dark Sky Association*”
 - <http://www.darksky.org/>
 - recommended a zoning amendment
- Chaired by Councillor Henrietta Davis
- Cambridge zoning review by CDD
- NOT enforceable undisputed by ISD

Public Health Issues

- Dr Jo Solet & Professor Steven Lockley
 - Harvard Medical School
- Small amount of intrusive light disrupts
 - sleep
 - natural circadian rhythms
- scientifically undisputed: sleep critical to health
 - melatonin suppression
 - hormonal disruption
 - weight gain

American Medical Association

- 2009 policy supporting control of light pollution
- Glare as “public health hazard”
- Unsafe driving conditions
 - Especially elderly
 - Obscuring night vision
- Decreased glare increases safety of pedestrians, bicycles, and other vehicles
 - bicycles particularly sensitive to roadway defects

Keys to Enforcement

- no right to shine lights in someone's window
- Inspectional Services Dept “complaint driven”
 - limited resources, expensive to staff at night
 - attempt iReport compatibility
- many remedies are inexpensive
- added “distance from the light” restriction
- replaced “glare” with “direct light”
 - indirect light trespass is allowed
 - existing language remains
 - “adversely affects safe vision of operators of vehicles moving on nearby streets”

Define “lightbulb”

International Dark-Sky Association language

7.21.1 Lamp. Lamp shall mean and include the source of optical radiation (i.e., “light”), often called a “bulb” or “tube”. Examples include incandescent, fluorescent, high-intensity discharge (HID) lamps, and low pressure sodium (LPS) lamps, as well as light-emitting diode (LED) modules and arrays.

Define “light fixture”


7.21.2 Luminaire. Luminaire shall mean and include the complete lighting unit (fixture), consisting of a lamp, or lamps and ballast(s) (when applicable), together with the parts designed to distribute the light (reflector, lens, diffuser), to position and protect the lamps, and to connect the lamps to the power supply. Temporary holiday lighting in use for not longer than a four week period in any calendar year shall not be considered a luminaire. Internally illuminated signs that have current and valid sign permits shall not be considered a luminaire.

Define “direct light”

Language reviewed with International Dark-Sky Association
good approximation of “glare”

7.21.3 Direct Light. Direct light shall mean and include any lighting entering the eye directly from a luminaire or reflecting from any part of that luminaire. For enforcement purposes, a luminaire shall be considered to be causing direct light if any part of the lamp or any of the parts designed to distribute the light (reflector, lens, diffuser) are visible to any person. Further, a **daytime photograph of the luminaire is sufficient to prove that it is the source of direct light** even when said luminaire is not in operation.

Direct Light equals "glare"



Light pollution is often caused by the way light is emitted from lighting equipment. Choosing proper equipment and carefully mounting and aiming it can make a significant difference.

What is our Intent?

only allow complaints by neighbors


7.22 Lighting Restrictions for the City of Cambridge

No **direct light** shall be allowed on any abutting property, on any property abutting an abutting property, on any property across a street from those properties, on any property within 300 feet of the luminaire, and on any street. A property shall explicitly include any buildings on said property with the intent of prohibiting said direct light from entering windows or any other opening. A luminaire intended to light a street is allowed to light said street.

Implementation

- ISD is “complaint driven”
 - only lights that actually bother folks reported
 - lot’s of easy fixes
 - shields, even paint ...
- Can appeal to the BZA
 - Quick
 - Inexpensive
 - Simple
- ISD will now catch during application process





SAINT JOHN'S
AUTHORIZED
PARKING
ONLY
VIOLATORS WILL
BE TOWED AT
OWN EXPENSE TO
PARTS TOW - 4000





sign in new guest? my account REDcard

women men baby kids home patio furniture electronics entertainment toys health

REDCARD SAVE 5% + GET FREE SHIPPING. TODAY & EVERYDAY - Apply today

all search

find a store Weekly Ad GiftCards

Target > home > home décor > lamps & lighting > lamp shades & bases

Threshold™ Square Silken Lamp Shade (Small) Beige


★★★★★ be the first to review

\$9.99 Online Price

quantity:

add to cart

find in store





It's time

- long term issue for many residents
 - 2009 hearing
 - 2012 Raymond Park petition
- more than annoyance
 - undisputed public health issue
- Honors original intent
 - equalizes protection
- Accept revised language
 - Refer to full Council

Just to be sure

- “Cambridge Night Lighting Tour”
 - Berkley Street
 - Wood Street
 - Sherman Street
 - Edmunds Street
 - Mount Auburn Street at the hospital
 - some excellent lights

Lopez, Donna

ATTACHMENT C

From: Gary Dmytryk [dmytryk@gmail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, May 15, 2013 12:02 PM
To: City Council
Cc: Lopez, Donna
Subject: SUPPORT Teague Petition

I am writing in support of the Teague Petition, which is a constructive attempt to help make our city's Lighting Ordinance more easily enforceable. Intrusive lighting is one of those quality of life issues that can make you miserable if you have that problem. From what I understand, our current Lighting Ordinance is very difficult to enforce. Mr Teague's petition consists of a few small changes in the ordinance language. Since I am not an expert, I do not know whether Mr Teague's changes will fix this problem, but I hope the Council will request the appropriate city staff (at either CDD or Inspectional Services) to review this petition and work together with the Council and Mr Teague to produce a more effective Lighting Ordinance.

Thank you,

Gary Dmytryk
2440 Mass Ave

Lopez, Donna

ATTACHMENT D

From: Kathy Watkins [kathywatkins@mac.com]
Sent: Tuesday, May 14, 2013 5:40 PM
To: City Council
Cc: Lopez, Donna
Subject: Support Teague Petition

I support the Teague Petition because I support my neighbors in the Raymond Park Neighborhood and because I have the same problem with my apartment that overlooks the Salvation Army and Economy Hardware Parking lots that face Green Street. Both of the parking lots in my neighborhood have recently been renovated with no change to the glaring light that shines in my bedroom window even through shades. Noise and light pollution are problems that are not being addressed in Cambridge. What is so difficult about using lights that face downward? Thank you for your attention.

Sincerely,

Kathy Watkins
129 Franklin St. Apt. 315
Cambridge, MA 02139

Lopez, Donna

ATTACHMENTE

From: Dara Glass [daraglass@gmail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, May 15, 2013 8:20 AM
To: City Council
Cc: Lopez, Donna
Subject: Support Teague Petition

City Council,

I am in favor of the Teague Petition because, like noise levels, I believe that light levels are an essential factor to quality of life. This is important to our health. I hope that this city will take a firm position against irresponsible light use.

Dara Glass
Edmunds St.

Lopez, Donna

ATTACHMENT F

From: Cathy Korsgren [korsgren@enders.tch.harvard.edu]
Sent: Wednesday, May 15, 2013 9:57 AM
To: City Council
Cc: Lopez, Donna
Subject: Lighting Petition proposed by Charlie Teague

Dear Councilor,

I strongly support the lighting petition as proposed by Charlie Teague. I am a resident of North Cambridge, 10 Hollis St. and neighbors on three sides of my house shine outdoor lights on my house.

1. My neighbor to the left, Slater Anderson at 12 Hollis St. installed a pendant porch light in 2006 less than 10 feet from my house where it shines in my windows. This has required me to close the outside shutters on the first and second floor. I have asked him to use a motion sensor and he has refused, I also contacted Inspectional Services with no response.
2. The outdoor porch lights at 9 and 11 Hollis St., David Borrus, across the street shine on the street and into the first and second floor front windows of my house. I have asked David to shield the lights so that they do not illuminate outside of his property. He has not done this.
3. My neighbor on my right side is St. John's Church, 2254 Mass. Ave. For 35 years I have been talking with them and Inspectional Services to guide the lights away from my property. One of their new lights on the back of the church building shines directly in the windows of my house and even the parking lot lights shine directly in my back windows.

Please pass this lighting petition to reduce light harassment to residents of Cambridge.

Sincerely,
Cathy Korsgren
10 Hollis St.

Lopez, Donna

ATTACHMENT G

From: Paula Cortes [paula.cortes@verizon.net]
Sent: Wednesday, May 15, 2013 11:09 AM
To: Lopez, Donna; City Council
Cc: 'charles.teague@comcast.net.'
Subject: Lighting ordinance proposed changes

Regarding the Ordinance Committee meeting Thursday, May 16, light trespass issues:

Dear Councillors,

I support the proposed changes to the zoning ordinance regarding lighting, especially in residential areas.

I live at 25 Newell Street and suffer from the light coming from Walden Park Apartments, shining directly into my windows. Their lights are atop the two large buildings and shine out on large areas of the neighborhood. Along with other neighbors we have requested changes to the lighting, both from this management company and from previous owners, to no avail. We need some help on this matter.

Paula Cortes

25 Newell Street
Cambridge, MA 02140

paula.cortes@verizon.net

Lopez, Donna

ATTACHMENT #

From: Deb Biba [biba@igc.org]
Sent: Wednesday, May 15, 2013 12:22 PM
To: Lopez, Donna; City Council
Subject: Lighting ordinance proposed changes - May 16, 2013 meeting

Dear Cambridge City Council members,

I strongly support the zoning ordinance changes proposed regarding lighting 'trespass' in residential areas.

I live at 20 Newell Street and have experienced significant light 'pollution' into our windows for years from the Walden Park Apartment buildings *a full block away*. The Walden Park Apartment buildings illuminate their extensive property using bright, industrial-sized lights mounted atop their two, six-story buildings. The pollution from the lights shines far beyond their property well into our residential neighborhood. The light pollution disrupts sleep, a known health hazard.

I have personally and along with neighbors contacted the management company/building owners (past and present) multiple times in the last two decades as well as city councilors for relief from the light pollution emanating from the Walden Park Apartments. We really need some help on this long-standing issue. We urge your thoughtful support to bring about changes which will vastly improve our neighborhood livability by providing residential protection from poorly-positioned industrial lighting, such as those found at the Walden Park Apartments.

Thank you in advance for your leadership on this matter.

Sincerely,
Debra Biba
20 Newell Street

From: Paula Cortes [mailto:paula.cortes@verizon.net]
Sent: Wednesday, May 15, 2013 11:09 AM
To: 'DLopez@Cambridgema.gov'; Council@Cambridgema.gov
Cc: 'charles.teague@comcast.net.'
Subject: Lighting ordinance proposed changes

Regarding the Ordinance Committee meeting Thursday, May 16, light trespass issues:

Dear Councillors,

I support the proposed changes to the zoning ordinance regarding lighting, especially in residential areas. I live at 25 Newell Street and suffer from the light coming from Walden Park Apartments, shining directly into my windows. Their lights are atop the two large buildings and shine out on large areas of the neighborhood. Along with other neighbors we have requested changes to the lighting, both from this management company and from previous owners, to no avail. We need some help on this matter.

Paula Cortes

25 Newell Street
Cambridge, MA 02140

Lopez, Donna

ATTACHMENT I

From: Melissa Gonzalez [mgonzalezbrenes@gmail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, May 15, 2013 5:05 PM
To: City Council
Cc: Lopez, Donna
Subject: SUPPORT Teague Petition

Please update laws to protect residents from unnecessary light pollution.

Thank you.

Melissa Gonzalez
189 Walden St.
Cambridge, MA 02140

change.org

To: City Councilor, City Councilor, Robert Healy TIER 1, City Councilor, Ranjit Singanayagam, and Dana Edwards

Letter: Greetings,

I just signed the following petition addressed to: Cambridge City Government. Please sign it too by following the link. And, share with your neighbors. Thanks!

The Raymond Park neighborhood is adversely impacted by growing light pollution problems. Despite neighbors' repeated efforts to deal with the problem, Walden Park Apartments continues to violate City codes and shines light from dusk to dawn across a two to three block radius from the many flood lights it has positioned on top of its two, six-story buildings. Simultaneously, with the redevelopment of Lincoln Way apartments, Cambridge Housing Authority has installed street lights directly against the property lines of its abutting neighbors, along with unshielded, wall mounted exterior lights throughout the apartment complex, both of which cast excessive amounts of lighting onto neighbor's houses and in the neighborhood in general. In compliance with City lighting codes, we petition that 1. Walden Park apartment remove the flood lights from the top of its two buildings, 2. Walden Park and Lincoln Way install shields on wall-mounted, exterior lights that shine directly at neighbor's houses, and 3. Lincoln Way street lights along abutting neighbors' property lines not only contain effective, back-side shielding, but are substituted to smaller sized posts with significantly reduced light wattage.

Sincerely,

Address:

Signatures

Name	Location	Date
Brooksany Coe		2012-04-22
Virginia Brooks	Cambridge, MA, United States	2012-04-22
Lori Lander	Cambridge, MA, United States	2012-04-22
Sandra Diener	Cambridge, MA, United States	2012-04-22
marianne koole	cambridge, MA, United States	2012-04-22
Martha Scanlon	Cambridge, MA, United States	2012-04-22
Concerned Citizen	New City, NY, United States	2012-04-22
Ellen Kolton	Cambridge, MA, United States	2012-04-22
Alan Fein	Cambridge, MA, United States	2012-04-22
Kathleen Finlay	Cambridge, MA, United States	2012-04-22
Carol Bundy	Cambridge, MA, United States	2012-04-22
Jane Aibel	Cambridge, MA, United States	2012-04-22
Fran Cronin	Cambridge, MA, United States	2012-04-22
Susan Frieden	Cambridge, MA, United States	2012-04-22
Lilian Jen	Cambridge, MA, United States	2012-04-22
david oconnor	Cambridge, MA, United States	2012-04-22
Laurel Lhowe	Cambridge, MA, United States	2012-04-22
Keely Curliss	Cambridge, MA, United States	2012-04-23
Amy Brown	Cambridge, MA, United States	2012-04-23
Ulrika Brand	Cambridge,, MA, United States	2012-04-23
Sarah Stewart	Cambridge, MA, United States	2012-04-23
Ed Shea	Cambridge, MA, United States	2012-04-23
maryann thompson	cambridge, MA, United States	2012-04-23
Gabe Frieden	Cambridge, MA, United States	2012-04-23
Guy Dubuis	Upton, NY, United States	2012-04-23
Molly Lynn Watt	Cambridge, MA, United States	2012-04-23
Diane Margolis	Cambridge, MA, United States	2012-04-23
Eva Kasell	Cambridge, MA, United States	2012-04-23
Sara Rust	Cambridge, MA, United States	2012-04-23
linda koegel	cambridge, MA, United States	2012-04-23

Name	Location	Date
Madeleine Littman	Cambridge, MA, United States	2012-04-23
Dr. Walter Kasell	Cambridge, MA, United States	2012-04-23
Leigh Litchfield	Cambridge, MA, United States	2012-04-23
Patricia A Hogan	Cambridge, MA, United States	2012-04-23
Susan Sternfeld	Cambridge, MA, United States	2012-04-23
Jonathan Bloch	Cambridge, MA, United States	2012-04-23
Ashley Owens Wood	Cambridge , MA, United States	2012-04-24
Melissa Gonzalez	Cambridge, MA, United States	2012-04-24
Ileana Jones	Cambridge, MA, United States	2012-04-24
Sari Boren	Cambridge, MA, United States	2012-04-24
Susan Chimene	Cambridge, MA, United States	2012-04-24
Jeremy Chimene-Weiss	Cambridge, MA, United States	2012-04-24
Sara Weiss	Cambridge, MA, United States	2012-04-24
Aliza Chimene-Weiss	saratoga Springs, NY, United States	2012-04-24
robert weiss	cambridge, MA, United States	2012-04-24
George Scialabba	Cambridge, MA, United States	2012-04-24
Connie Chamberlain	Cambridge, MA, United States	2012-04-24
James Foritano	Cambridge, MA, United States	2012-04-24
virginia swain	Cambridge, MA, United States	2012-04-24
Mary Jane Kornacki	Cambridge, MA, United States	2012-04-24
peter smith	cambridge, MA, United States	2012-04-24
Olivia Pennock	Cambridge, MA, United States	2012-04-24
Peter Caravan	Cambridge, MA, United States	2012-04-24
ellie botshon	somerville, MA, United States	2012-04-24
George Emlen	Cambridge, MA, United States	2012-04-24
Rose Moss	Cambridge, MA, United States	2012-04-25
Charles Teague	Cambridge, MA, United States	2012-04-25
Anne Johnson	Cambridge, MA, United States	2012-04-25
Glenn Heinmiller	Cambridge, MA, United States	2012-04-26
Adam Brod	Cambridge, MA, United States	2012-04-26
Bruce Posner	Cambridge, MA, United States	2012-04-27
Paula Cortes	Cambridge, MA, United States	2012-04-27

Name	Location	Date
Martha Bargmann	Cambridge, MA, United States	2012-04-27
maxine pestronk	cambridge, MA, United States	2012-04-28
Joel c Weisberg	cambridge, MA, United States	2012-05-01
Emily Silet	Cambridge, MA, United States	2012-05-01
thomas hout	cambridgte, MA, United States	2012-05-01
CHRISTOPHER MACFARLANE	Cambridge, MA, United States	2012-05-02
Al Hinde	Cambridge, MA, United States	2012-05-19
Anna Stothart	Cambridge, MA, United States	2012-05-21
Janis Jackman	Camb., MA, United States	2012-05-23
Laura Saxon	morrison, FL, United States	2013-01-09
Kathy Watkins	Cambridge, MA, United States	2013-05-14
Virginia Brooks	Cambridge, MA, United States	2013-05-15

Lopez, Donna

ATTACHMENT K

From: MARIANNE KOOLE [mkoole@verizon.net]
Sent: Thursday, May 16, 2013 8:40 AM
To: Lopez, Donna
Subject: zoning ordinance meeting Thurs.May 16th

I support the proposed changes to the zoning ordinance regarding light issues in residential areas. I lived at 17Woodst. across from Walden Park Apartment building. For years now they have had huge floodlights on top of their 8 story apartmentbuilding. These lights shine into our front windows and are very intrusive. We have tried to talk to the management ,but to no avail. We would like some help with this issue. Thank you. Marianne Koole.